

Double semi-open sets with respect to a double grill

K.Suguna Devi¹, Dr.R.Rajarajeswari², N.Durga Devi¹

¹Assistant Professor, Department of mathematics, Sri parasakthi College for Women, Courtallam, India,

²Associate Professor, Department of mathematics, Sri parasakthi College for Women, Courtallam, India

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to introduce double semi open sets with respect to a grill \mathcal{D}_g defined by double sets, we name it as \mathcal{D}_g semi open set and study some of its properties

Keywords—double set, double grill, double semi open set, \mathcal{D}_g -semi open.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Intuitionistic sets and intuitionistic points were introduced by D.Coker [2] in 1996. This concept was originated from the study of Zadeh [9] who introduced intuitionistic fuzzy set in the year 1965. Intuitionistic set concept is the discrete form of intuitionistic fuzzy set and it is also one of several ways of introducing vagueness in mathematical objects. In 2000, Coker [3] also introduced the concept of intuitionistic topological spaces with intuitionistic sets, and investigated basic properties of continuous functions and compactness. In this paper, we follow the suggestion of Garcia and Rodabaugh [5] and we adopt the term "double set" for the intuitionistic set and "double topology" for the intuitionistic topology of Coker.

In continuation of Coker's study, Gnanamballango and Girija [6] have given some results on intuitionistic semi open sets. The aim of this paper is to introduce double semi-open sets with respect to double grill, introduce by Rajeswari in 2017.

Definition 1.1. [2] Let X be a nonempty fixed set. A double set (DS for short) A is an object having the form $A = \langle X, A^1, A^2 \rangle$, where A^1 and A^2 are subsets of X satisfying $A^1 \cap A^2 = \emptyset$. The set A^1 is called the set of members of A , while A^2 is called the set of non members of A . The collection of set of all double subsets of the set X is denoted as $DSP(X)$.

Definition 1.2. [2] Let X be a nonempty set. $A = \langle X, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ and $B = \langle X, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ be a double sets on X and let $\{A_i : i \in J\}$ be an arbitrary family of double sets in X , where $A_i = \langle X, A_i^1, A_i^2 \rangle$. Then

- $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $A^1 \subseteq B^1$ and $B^2 \subseteq A^2$.
- $A = B$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.
- $\cup A_i = \langle X, \cup A_i^1, \cap A_i^2 \rangle$.
- $\cap A_i = \langle X, \cap A_i^1, \cup A_i^2 \rangle$.
- $\bar{X} = \langle X, X, \emptyset \rangle$.
- $\emptyset = \langle X, \emptyset, X \rangle$.
- $A^c = \langle X, A^2, A^1 \rangle$.
- $A - B = A \cap B^c$.

Definition 1.3. [2] Let X be a nonempty set and $p \in X$ be a fixed element in X . Then $\tilde{p} = \langle X, \{p\}, \{p\}^c \rangle$ is called a double point (DP for short) in X .

Definition 1.4. [3] A double topology (DT for short) on a non-empty set X is a family τ of double sets in X satisfying the following axioms:

(T_1) $\emptyset, \bar{X} \in \tau$.

(T_2) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$ for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$.

(T_3) $\cup G_i \in \tau$ for any arbitrary family $\{G_i : i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$. In this case the pair (X, τ) is called a double topological space (DTS for short) and any DS in τ is known as a double open set (DOS for short) in X .

Definition 1.5. [3] The complement A of a DOS A in a double topological space (X, τ) is called a double closed set (DCS for short) in X .

Definition 1.6. [3] Let (X, τ) be a double topological space and $A = \langle X, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ be a double set in X . Then the interior and closure of A are defined by $Dint(A) = \cup \{G : G \text{ is a DOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$ and $DCl(A) = \cap \{K : K \text{ is DCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}$.

Definition 1.7. [7] A subcollection \mathcal{D}_g (not containing the empty set) of $DSP(X)$ is called a double grill or \mathcal{D}_g -grill on X if \mathcal{D}_g satisfies the following conditions:

- $A \in \mathcal{D}_g$ and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ implies $B \in \mathcal{D}_g$.
- $A, B \subseteq X$ and $A \cup B \in \mathcal{D}_g$ implies that either $A \in \mathcal{D}_g$ or $B \in \mathcal{D}_g$.

Definition 1.8. [7] Let (X, τ) be a double topological space and \mathcal{D}_g be a double grill on X . We define a mapping $\Phi : DSP(X) \rightarrow DSP(X)$, denoted by $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A, \tau)$ (for $A \in DSP(X)$) or $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)$ called the operator associated with the double grill \mathcal{D}_g and the double topology τ and it is defined by $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \{ \tilde{p} \in X : A \cap U \in \mathcal{D}_g \text{ for all } U \in \tau(\tilde{p}) \}$, where $\tau(\tilde{p})$ stands for the collection of all open neighbourhoods of \tilde{p} .

Proposition 1.9. [7] Let (X, τ) be a double topological space and \mathcal{D}_g be a double grill on X . Then for all $A, B \subseteq X$, the following conditions hold:

- $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ implies $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) \subseteq \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(B)$
- $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A \cup B) = \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(B)$, for any $A, B \subseteq X$.
- $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) \subseteq \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) \subseteq DCl(A)$, for any $A \subseteq X$.
- For any \mathcal{D}_g -grill \mathcal{D}_g on X and any $A \subseteq X$, if $A \notin \mathcal{D}_g$ then $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \emptyset$.

Definition 1.10. [6] A double set A of a double topological space (X, τ) is called double semi open (double semi closed) if $A \subseteq Dcl(Dint(A)$ (resp. $Dcl(Dint(A)) \subseteq A$). The intersection (union) of double semi closed (resp. double semi open) sets containing (resp. contained in) a set A is called the double semi

closure (resp. double semi interior) of A, and is denoted by $Dscl(A)$ (resp. $Dsint(A)$).
The set of all double semi open sets in a double topological space X is denoted by $DSO(X)$.

Definition 1.11.[8] Let \mathcal{D}_g be a double grill on a double topological Space (X, τ) . A double set $A \subseteq X$ is called \mathcal{D}_g -open if $A \subseteq Dint(\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A))$. The complement of such a double set is called \mathcal{D}_g -closed.

2. Double Semi Open sets with respect to a Double Grill

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{D}_g be a double grill on X. We define a map $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}: DS P(X) \rightarrow DS P(X)$ by $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = A \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)$, for all $A \in DS P(X)$. Then the map $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}$ is a kuratowski's operator.

Proof: For any non-empty set A of X, if $A \notin \mathcal{D}_g$, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \tilde{\emptyset}$. Since $\tilde{\emptyset} \notin \mathcal{D}_g$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\tilde{\emptyset}) = \tilde{\emptyset} \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\tilde{\emptyset}) = \tilde{\emptyset}$. Obviously, $A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)$ for all $A \subseteq X$. Also $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A \cup B) = (A \cup B) \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A \cup B) = (A \cup B) \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(B) = A \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) \cup B \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(B) = \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) \cup \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(B)$. Again for any $A \subseteq X$, $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) = \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) = A \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) = A \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)$.

As this operator $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}$ satisfies the conditions of a kuratowski's operator and using this $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}$ function, we can define a double topology as below.

Definition 2.2. For a given double topology (X, τ) and corresponding to a double grill \mathcal{D}_g , there exists a unique double topology $\tau_{\mathcal{D}_g}$ (say) on X is given by $\tau_{\mathcal{D}_g} = \{U \in DSP(X): \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\tilde{X}/U) = \tilde{X}/U\}$ where for any double set A of X, $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = A \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \tau_{\mathcal{D}_g}\text{-Dcl}(A)$, where $\tau_{\mathcal{D}_g}\text{-Dcl}(A)$ is the closure of the double set A with respect to the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{D}_g}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{D}_g}\text{-Dcl}(A)$ is the intersection of all $\tau_{\mathcal{D}_g}$ -closed sets containing A. Also $\tau_{\mathcal{D}_g}\text{-Dint}(A)$ is the union of all $\tau_{\mathcal{D}_g}$ -open sets contained in A.

Definition 2.3. A double set A of a D-grill topological space (X, τ) is said to be double semi open with respect to the D-grill \mathcal{D}_g or simply \mathcal{D}_g -semi open if $A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A))$. The complement of a \mathcal{D}_g -semi open set is called a \mathcal{D}_g -semi closed set.

Remark 2.4. Every \mathcal{D}_g -semi open set is double semi open. Infact, let A be \mathcal{D}_g -semi open in (X, τ) . Then $A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A)) = Dint(A) \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A)) \subseteq Dint(A) \cup (Dcl(Dint(A)) = Dcl(Dint(A))$. Therefore A is double semi open. But the converse is not be true. This is justified in Example 2.5.

Example 2.5. Let $X = \{a,b,c\}$, $\tau = \{\tilde{\emptyset}, \tilde{X}, \langle X, \{b\}, \{a,c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{a,b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b,c\}, \{a\} \rangle\}$ be a double topology on X and $\mathcal{D}_g = \{\langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a,b\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a,b\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a,c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \tilde{X}\}$ be a D-grill on X. Take $A = \langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle$. Then $Dint(A) = \langle X, \{c\}, \{a,b\} \rangle$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A)) = \tilde{\emptyset}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A)) = \langle X, \{c\}, \{a,b\} \rangle$. Therefore A is not \mathcal{D}_g -semi open. Also $Dcl(Dint(A)) = \langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle$. Hence A is double semi open.

$\langle X, \{c\}, \{a,b\} \rangle$. Therefore $\langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle \not\subseteq \langle X, \{c\}, \{a,b\} \rangle$. Hence A is not \mathcal{D}_g -semi open. Also $Dcl(Dint(A)) = \langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle$. Hence A is double semi open.

Remark 2.6. The concepts of \mathcal{D}_g -semi open and \mathcal{D}_g -open sets are independent of each other.

Example 2.7. Let $X = \{a,b,c\}$, $\tau = \{\tilde{\emptyset}, \tilde{X}, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b\}, \{a,c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a,b\}, \{c\} \rangle\}$ be a double topology on X and $\mathcal{D}_g = \{\langle X, \{c\}, \{a,b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{a\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b,c\}, \{a\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a,c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{b,c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \tilde{X}\}$ be a D-grill on X.

Suppose $A = \langle X, \{a,b\}, \{c\} \rangle$. Then $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \tilde{\emptyset}$ and $Dint(\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) = \tilde{\emptyset}$, so A is not \mathcal{D}_g -open. Now $Dint(A) = \langle X, \{a,b\}, \{c\} \rangle$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A)) = \tilde{\emptyset}$, so that $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A)) = \langle X, \{a,b\}, \{c\} \rangle$. Hence A is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open.

Example 2.8. Consider the double topological space (X, τ) where $X = \{a,b,c\}$ and $\tau = \{\tilde{\emptyset}, \tilde{X}, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b,c\}, \{a\} \rangle\}$ be a double topology on X and $\mathcal{D}_g = \{\langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{a,b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a,b\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{a\} \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b,c\}, \{a\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a,b\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a,c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{b,c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \tilde{X}\}$ be a D-grill on X.

Suppose $A = \langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle$. Then $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \tilde{X}$ and $Dint(\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) = \tilde{X}$. Hence A is \mathcal{D}_g -open. Again $Dint(A) = \langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A)) = \langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A)) = \langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle \not\subseteq A$. Hence A is not \mathcal{D}_g -semi open.

Theorem 2.9. Let (X, τ) be a double topological space and \mathcal{D}_g be a D-grill on X. Then a double set A of X is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open if and only if $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A))$.

Proof: Let A be \mathcal{D}_g -semi open. Then $A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A))$ implies $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A))) = \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A)) \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)$ implies $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(A))$. The converse is trivial.

Theorem 2.10. Let (X, τ) be a double topological space and \mathcal{D}_g be a D-grill on X. If a double set A of X is \mathcal{D}_g -semiclosed, then $Dint(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) \subseteq A$.

Proof: Suppose A is \mathcal{D}_g -semi closed. Then, $\tilde{X} \setminus A$ is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open and hence $\tilde{X} \setminus A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(Dint(\tilde{X} \setminus A)) \subseteq Dcl(Dint(\tilde{X} \setminus A)) = \tilde{X} \setminus Dint(Dcl(A)) \subseteq \tilde{X} \setminus Dint(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A))$ implies $Dint(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) \subseteq A$.

Remark 2.11. The converse of Theorem 2.10 is not true. This is Justified with Example 2.12.

Example 2.12. Consider the double topological space (X, τ) , where $X = \{a,b,c\}$ and $\tau = \{\tilde{\emptyset}, \tilde{X}, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{b,c\}, \{a\} \rangle\}$ be a double topology on X and $\mathcal{D}_g = \{\langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a,b\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle, \langle X, \{a\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a,b\}, \emptyset \rangle, \langle X, \{a,c\}, \emptyset \rangle, \tilde{X}\}$ be a D-grill on X. Suppose $A = \langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle$. Then $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) = \langle X, \{a,c\}, \{b\} \rangle$. That implies $Dint(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) = \langle X, \{a\}, \{b,c\} \rangle$. Therefore $Dint(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) \not\subseteq A$.

But $\tilde{X} \setminus A$ is not \mathcal{D}_g -semi open. Therefore A is not \mathcal{D}_g -semi closed.

Theorem 2.13. Let (X, τ) be a double topological space with a \mathcal{D}_g -grill \mathcal{D}_g and A be a double set of X such that $\tilde{X} \setminus \text{Dint}(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) = \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(\tilde{X} \setminus A))$. Then A is \mathcal{D}_g -semi closed if and only if $\text{Dint}(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) \subseteq A$.

Proof: Let A be \mathcal{D}_g -semi closed. By Theorem 2.10, $\text{Dint}(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) \subseteq A$.

Conversely, suppose that $\text{Dint}(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) \subseteq A$. Then $\tilde{X} \setminus A \subseteq \tilde{X} \setminus \text{Dint}(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)) = \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(\tilde{X} \setminus A))$ implies $\tilde{X} \setminus A$ is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open. Hence A is \mathcal{D}_g -semi closed.

Theorem 2.14. Let (X, τ) be a double topological space and \mathcal{D}_g be a \mathcal{D}_g -grill on X . Then a double set A of X is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open if and only if there exists a $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(U)$.

Proof: Suppose A is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open. Then $A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(A))$. Take $\text{Dint}(A) = U$. Then $U \subseteq A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(U)$, where $U \in \tau$. Conversely, let $U \subseteq A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(U)$, where $U \in \tau$. Now $U \subseteq A$ implies $U \subseteq \text{Dint}(A)$ and so $\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(U) \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(A))$ which implies $A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(A))$. Therefore A is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open.

Theorem 2.15. Let (X, τ) be a double topological space and \mathcal{D}_g be a double grill on X . Let A and B be double sets of X such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A)$. If A is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open, then B is also \mathcal{D}_g -semi open.

Proof: Let A be \mathcal{D}_g -semi open. Then there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(U)$ implies $U \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(A) \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(U)) = \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(U)$. Therefore B is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open.

Theorem 2.16. Let (X, τ) be a double topological space and \mathcal{D}_g be a \mathcal{D}_g -grill on X .

(a) If $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$ is a family of \mathcal{D}_g -semi open sets, then $\cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$ is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open.

(b) If $A \subseteq X$ is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open and $U \in \tau$, then $A \cap U$ is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open.

Proof: (a) Suppose U_α is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open, for each $\alpha \in J$. Then $U_\alpha \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(U_\alpha))$ for each $\alpha \in J$ implies $\cup U_\alpha \subseteq \cup \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(U_\alpha)) \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(\cup U_\alpha))$. Therefore $\cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$ is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open.

(b) Let A be \mathcal{D}_g -semi open and $U \in \tau$. Then $A \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(A))$. Now $A \cap U \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(A)) \cap U = (\text{Dint}(A) \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(A))) \cap U = (\text{Dint}(A) \cap U) \cup (\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(A)) \cap U) \subseteq \text{Dint}(A \cap U) \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(A \cap U)) = \psi_{\mathcal{D}_g}(\text{Dint}(A \cap U))$. Therefore $A \cap U$ is \mathcal{D}_g -semi open.

3. References

[1]. Choquet.G, Sur les notions de filter et grille, Comptes, Rendus Acad.Sci.Paris,224 (1947),171-173.
 [2]. Coker.D, A Note On Intuitionistic Sets and Intuitionistic points, Tr.J.math, 20(1996), 343-351.
 [3]. Coker.D, An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces, Busefal, 81, 51-56(2000).

[4]. Coskun.E, and Coker.D , On neighborhood Structures in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces, Mathematical Balkanica, 12 (3-4)(1998) 289-293.
 [5]. Garcia,JG and Rodabaugh, SE2005,Order theoretic, topological, categoricall redundancies of interval- valued sets, grey sets, vague sets, interval-valued “double”sets, “intuitionistic” fuzzy sets and topologies”, Fuzzy sets and Systems,156(3), pp. 445-484.
 [6]. Girija,S and GnanambalIlango., Some more results on intuitionistic semi open sets, Int.Journal of Engineering Research and Applications.,(2014),70-74.
 [7]. Suguna,Devi,K , Raja Rajeswari,R and DurgaDevi,N Intuitionistic grill, The Journal of Computer Science, 109 (2017), 130-132.
 [8]. SugunaDevi,K Raja Rajeswari,R and DurgaDevi,N, A study on subclass of intuitionistic preopen sets via intuitionistic grills, International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology, 2017, Vol. 2, Issue 6, ISSN No. 2455-2143, Pages 54-57
 [9]. Zadeh,LA Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8(1965)338-353.